Cry for Reform at UC Berkeley Seminar on Indian Democracy



Academic seminar as gripping as a Bollywood blockbuster

By ASHFAQUE SWAPAN Special to India-West

A44 - June 22, 2007 - INDIA-WEST

National — U.S.

Cry for Reform at UC Berkeley's Seminar on Indian Democracy

|Cont. from page A1| |local governance in the world's |largest democracy. | Two union ministers, Panchaya-ti Raj Minister Mani Shankar Aiyar ti Baj Minister Mani Shankar Aiyar and Urban Affairs Minister Jaipal Reddy, were on hand who were responsible for the very topic the wo-day seminar addressed, "Local government and empowerment." Add to that Kerala Finance Min-siter T.M. Thomas Isaac, former Madhya Pradesh Chief Minister Digvijay Singh, Infosys CEO Kris Gopalakrishnan and some of the India's best known activists like Jayaprakash Narayan and Arvind Kejriwal, this seminar, hosted last month, was about as star-studded

month, was about as star-studded is it gets. In fact, it was the sort of gathering one is hard pressed to find even in India. Between the reformist zeal of IAS bureaucrat-turned gover-nance activist Narayan's blister-ing critique of local governance,

Alyar's equally articulate celebra-tion of the achievements in local empowerment, and Berkeley development economist Pranab Barthan's eloquent presentation of the stark contrasts in India, attendees had an opportunity to gain a thorough insight into the The miss and-bolts challenges of local empowerment were discussed by people who had ac-tually been there: the gentle, soft-

spoken former Madhya Pradesh Chief Minister Digvilay Singh, much praised for his role in implementing local self-govern-ment programs in that huge state, while Thomas lasae, the cerebral, bespectached Kerala finance min-sieter and architect of noe of Indials most successful local government programs, presented his take on the challenges to local govern-ment.

ment.
In the wrap-up session, public



interest logal activist Rajeev
Dhavan added a dash of color
with his flamboyant, rapier wit,
not even sparing the organizes.
The purpose of a conference is
to plan the next conference, "he
quipped, quoting British novellst
David Lodge.
In one of most compelling
In one of most compelling
In one of most compelling
Narayan offered a danning Indictment of the present state of
governance in India.
"Is anybody running India?"
he thundered. "We have a classic system of albibs... because
authority is completely divorced



from accountability in try, because power had dysfunctional in India.

In an impassioned jeremiad pepper with historical anecdotes statistical figures, Narayar I had audicence of an inciden 1924, when in British Ind. 2. Das, the newly checked of the state of

46 - June 22, 2007 - INDIA-WEST

National — U.S. .

Cry for Reform at UC Berkeley's Seminar on Indian Democracy

[Cont. from page A44]

[Cont. Jenn page A44] tumbia or Chemaia, not even a rymde municipally which would as allowed to have its own execution of the control of the



UC Berkeley chancellor Robert Birgeneau welcomes attendees to the seminar on Indian local government as Center for South Asia Studies chair Raka Ray looks on.

looks on.

What was to be done? His answer was blunt. "Ultimately the enlightened citizenty must take charge," he said. "There's nobody else to take charge."

True to his word, after 17 years as an eithe bureaucrat and 10 years as a government reform activits, Narayan has started a party, the Land of the control of the control of the charge."

However, the situation isn't all that bleak, according to Alyer, the

Panchayati Raj minister, who sees a lot to be proud of.
"What we have actually succeeded in doing — to put it in a single sentence — is operationalizing the greatest experiment in democracy that has ever taken place in history or to be taking place anywhere in the world," he said.



Foundation for Democratic Reforms in India board member Venktesh Shuk (1) with burraucrat-turned-activist Jayaprakash Narayan at the seminar a Indian local government. (Ashfaque Swapan photos)

India of which approximately 238,000 are in rural India, he

238,000 are in rural India, he said.

"In these 238,000 local institutions ... we have elected through open democratic process that is at least as transparent as any similar anywhere process in the world . . . no less than 3.2 million elected representatives."

Alyer did concede that there are problems. We have a design for institutions of devolution, he said. "We don't have a design for devolution."

devolution."
India's complex reality is such
that shortcomings and achievement seem to live cheek-by-jowl,
and UC Berkeley economics Prof.

proposition horogen at the sensules or "Notificest (Init) with a recent change in a very remote part of hinding." he sids." The government of Nagahard in 2002 changed the system and mude the salaries of health officials, education or particularly improved. In fact, school performance improved so much that lot of poor people so much that lot of poor pe



Sridhar Iyengar, president of the Foundation for Democratic Reforms in India, speaking at the seminar on Indian local government while FDRI board member Venktesh Shukla looks on.

Visition Nuclei tools on.

Pennah Burchum made that point with beling.

The Pennah Burchum made that point with the length of th

attenders to the two-day seminar, justing basted by the U.S. monitor profile Foundation for Penceratic Befores in India.

Today, India is a model for the profile of the Penceratic State of the India for all with India for all with India for In